1 2 3 4	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-7300 Facsimile: (702) 382-2755 rpocker@bsfllp.com	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (pro hac vice) BREE HANN (pro hac vice) THOMAS S. HIXSON (pro hac vice) KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (pro hac vice) Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067	
5	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286	
6	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice) FRED NORTON (pro hac vice)	geoff.howard@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com	
7	KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (pro hac vice) 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900	thomas.hixson@bingham.com kristen.palumbo@bingham.com	
8	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 874-1000	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice)	
	Facsimile: (510) 874-1460	DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice)	
9	sholtzman@bsfllp.com	JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice)	
10	fnorton@bsfllp.com kringgenberg@bsfllp.com	ORACLE CORPORATION 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7	
		Redwood City, CA 94070	
11		Telephone: 650.506.4846 Facsimile: 650.506.7114	
12		dorian.daley@oracle.com	
12	Au C. Di.; C.C. O. I. LIGA I	deborah.miller@oracle.com	
13 14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International Corp.	jim.maroulis@oracle.com	
15	-		
15	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT	
16	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
17	DISTRICTOR	FNEVADA	
18	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL	
19	corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation,	PLAINTIFFS ORACLE USA, INC.,	
20	Disingliffs	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND	
21	Plaintiffs, v.	ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO	
	DIMINI CEDEET INC N1	SEAL EXHIBIT B TO STIPULATION	
22	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE DERIVATIVE WORKS	
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
27 28			

1	PLAINTIFFS WIOTION TO SEAL		
2	Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents		
3	entered by the Court on May 21, 2010, Dkt. 55 ("Protective Order"), and Rules 5.2 and 26(c) of		
4	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc. and		
5	Oracle International Corporation (together "Oracle" or "Plaintiffs") respectfully request that the		
6	Court order the Clerk of the Court to file under seal Exhibit B to the Stipulation and [Proposed]		
7	Order re Derivative Works ("Exhibit B"). Exhibit B was lodged under seal with the Court on		
8	June 1, 2011. See Dkt. 145.		
9	Sealing Exhibit B is requested because the document contains information that		
10	Defendants Rimini Street, Inc., and Seth Ravin ("Defendants") have designated as "Highly		
11	Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the terms of the Protective Order. The		
12	requested relief is necessary and narrowly tailored to protect the confidentiality of the		
13	commercially sensitive business information identified by Defendants, namely, Defendants' list		
14	of current and former customers. The Protective Order provides that: "Counsel for any		
15	Designating Party may designate any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or		
16	'Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' under the terms of this Protective		
17	Order only if such counsel in good faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such		
18	information and is subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The		
19	designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or		
20	'Highly Confidential Information -Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that		
21	an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such		
22	designation." Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis supplied).		
23	Thus, in identifying Exhibit B as containing Highly Confidential material, Defendants as		
24	the designating parties have represented that good cause exists for sealing Exhibit B. This is a		
25	sufficient showing of good cause to permit a sealing order on a non-dispositive motion. See,		
26	e.g., Pacific Gas and Elec. Co. v. Lynch, 216 F. Supp. 2d 1016, 1027 (N.D. Cal. 2002).		
27	Defendants have designated the entirety of Exhibit B as Highly Confidential. The parties		
28	have submitted all other materials related to the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re Derivative		

1	Works, Dkt. 143, filed June 1, 2011, for filing in the Court's public files, which would allow		
2	public access to the filings except for Defendants' Highly Confidential materials. Accordingly,		
3	the request to seal is narrowly tailored.		
4	For the foregoing reasons, Oracle respectfully requests that the Court find that good caus		
5	exists to file under seal Exhibit B.		
6			
7	DATED: May 13, 2011	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP	
8			
9 10		By: <u>/s/ Geoffrey M. Howard</u> Geoffrey M. Howard	
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc.,	
12		and Oracle International Corp.	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		2	